UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/807,691	03/24/2004	Dirk Weseloh	P03,0118-01	4405
26574 SCHIFF HARD	7590 08/12/200 <b>DIN. LLP</b>	8	EXAMINER	
PATENT DEPA	ARTMENT		WON, MICHAEL YOUNG	
6600 SEARS TOWER CHICAGO, IL 60606-6473			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ŕ			2155	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/12/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	MICHAEL Y. WON	2155				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 01 August 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A		-				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apple for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:	the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavited (with appeal fee) in compliance of the complex that the reply must be filed to the complex that	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the (3) a Request			
a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire be Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or of MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07( Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, the	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth a later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE f). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.11 tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date.  Diance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be finsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to ithin the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(e).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FIRST FIRST REPLY WAS FIRST FIRST REPLY WAS FIRST FI	on.  LED WITHIN TWO e extension fee ate extension fee be action; or (2) as even if timely filed, as of the date of e appeal. Since a			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further col (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: Independent claim 18 is new. (See 37 CFI	nsideration and/or search (see NOTw); w); ter form for appeal by materially rec corresponding number of finally reje	TE below); ducing or simplifying to				
<ul> <li>4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).</li> <li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> <li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ul>						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 9-17.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		l be entered and an e	κplanation of			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a ).			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.  REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  Othmer with respect to claim 13 is cited to teach the functional limitation of "automatically deleting electronic data that has been transmitted dependent on termination of the access. The examiner does not equate the "server" of Othmer with either "maintenance computer" or the "technical device" in the claim language. Such devices are clearly and explicitly taught by						
Azieres.  12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s).  13. ☐ Other:			-			
	/Michael Won/ Primary Examiner					

August 8, 2008

## Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.

Part of Paper No. 20080808